Case 1:07-cv-05245-AKH Document	1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
JOSEPH OTTILO	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs, - against -	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellers 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	tein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE C	OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Coinstant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addit Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, be	with an '\(\overline{\mathbb{U}}\)' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s)
Plaintiffs, JOSEPH OTTILO, by his/her/their a NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), re	•
I. PAR	<u>TIES</u>
A. PLAIN	TIFF(S)
1. ☑ Plaintiff, JOSEPH OTTILO (herein citizen of New York residing at 110 8th Ave, New Yor (OR	
· ·	ne of Decedent

Ca	se 1:07-cv-05245-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 2 of 11
citizen of	residing at	(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the
Injured Plaint	☐ SPOUSE at all relevant times I	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the
	•	and (his wife), Plaintiff Other:
4. Construction	In the period from 9/13/2001 to 11/30. Company as a Laborer at:	/2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Turner
Ì	Please be as specific as possible when fi	illing in the following dates and locations
Location(s) (	d Trade Center Site <i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.) bout _9/13/2001_ until _11/30/2001_;	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for
Approximate	ly <u>12</u> hours per day; for ly <u>6</u> days total.	Approximately days total.  ===================================
☐ The New From on or al Approximate Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh From on or al Approximate Approximate	Kills Landfill bout; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
		apper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.  Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to §
Made a claim to the Victim Companyation Fund that was denied. Durguent to 8
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

## B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 3/14/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
9 ———— , , ,	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☑ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 3/14/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. ☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FOINT EXCAVATING CORP ☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DIVERSITED CARTING, INC. ☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	□ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

## 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	<u> </u>
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

## Case 1:07-cv-05245-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil remov	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28  III CAUSES  Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above	Jurisdi ut the U.S.C S <b>OF</b>	iction, (or);  Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441.
<b>✓</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_

## Case 1:07-cv-05245-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

☐ Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.

Cancer Injury: N/A.

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of

Expenses for medical care, treatment, and

retirement benefits

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

rehabilitation

Other:

	Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Asthma; Cough; Respiratory Problems; Shortness of Breath; Sinus and/or Nasal Problems; Sinus Problems; Wheezing Date of onset: 11/13/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	<b>✓</b>	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 11/13/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to  WTC work:	~	Other Injury: Chronic Headaches; Headaches, Dizziness, Migraines; Sleep Problems; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 11/13/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	stive l	ist of injuries that may be alleged.
Groui dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or		juries identified in paragraph "1", above, the the future suffer the following compensable
✓	Pain and suffering		<ul><li>✓ Medical monitoring</li><li>✓ Other: Not yet determined.</li></ul>
$\checkmark$	Loss of the enjoyment of life		Other. Not yet determined.
<b>V</b>	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity		

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York April 25, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Joseph Ottilo

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

April 25, 2007

Docket I	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	JOSEPH OTTILO,
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
====	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
====	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.
	Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
[	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:    NOTICE OF ENTRY   that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20   NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT   of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON.   one of the judges of the within named Court, at   on20 atM.   Dated,   Yours, etc.,   WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP